Source Oriented Quantification of Microbial **Contamination to Support Adapted Surface**



Institute for Hygiene and Public Health Instit

hInstitute for Hygiene and Public Health, University of Bonn, Sigmund-Freud-Str. 25, D-53105 Bonn, Germany christiane.schreiber@ukb.uni-bonn.de

The European Water Framework Directive aims to achieve good ecological and chemical surface water quality. But nowadays, the anthropogenic use of surface water is often limited by microbial contamination which poses health risks. To gain a deeper knowledge of the importance of different types of sources for the microbial contamination of surface waters, a point balance of the total microbial emissions in the

catchment area of the river Swist in Germany (285 km²), south-west of Cologne, was calculated. Annual mean rainfall in the catchment is 650-700 mm and average annual temperature 9–10 °C. Seven sewage treatment plants with three

A model for calculation of microbial loads within a catchment area different dealers and within a catchment area river Swist in Germany.

Methods

- Water samples were taken year-round at different sampling sites all over the catchment.
- Samples were analysed for several bacteria using standard methods, e.g. ISO.
- Spatial analysis (ArcGIS®) was used to quantify different land use areas.
- Median microbial concentrations from discharge from sewage treatment plants (STP), combined sewer overflow (CSO) and surface and subsurface run-off from non-sealed areas were used to calculate annual microbial loads (Fig. 2).





■ WOOd	sealed and settlement areas
agriculture	wastewater from STP
specialised cultivation	CSO discharge
greenland	(200) Zano (* united 2004) • (

catchment **b)** Proportional annual volumes discharged into the river Swist, resulting from noint sources and

diffuse run-off of different land use

$L = L_{STP} + L_{CSO} + L_{RO}$

Results and Discussion

Predominant land use in the with L = C*V and Vigger & MOANSTER MOSSON'S OWE. coli, Clostridia L = Annual load C = Median concentration and Giardia low big treathent plant Fight full for a calculating converted minimized backs area monorabilities of overflow agriculture (Fig. 1). F R Kale Manufi field and cale as Fließgewässerbelastungen destration of the calculating of the provide the calculating of the calculation of the calculating of the calculation of the calculating of the calculation of the ca catchment area of river Swist is Conservation and Consumer Protection Conservation and Consumer Protection run-off. of the The Management of the Catchment area has an important (German titel only) The impact of paifferent types for n The Catchment area has an important (German titel only) The catchment area has an important (German titel only) of the impact of paifferent types for n The catchment area has an important (German titel only) The catchment area has an important (German titel only) of the impact of paifferent to types for n of the the time of the catchment area has an important (German titel only) in the impact of the time of the sourcesablifferer fromacatchment impact on the hygienic and microbiological quality of the water.

- In the catchment of river Swist CSO as a point source of high proportion of total annual load provides optimal conditions for technical-constructional improvement.
- Minimizing diffuse impact seems necessary but difficult. Less intensification and adapted cultivation methods in agriculture could be helpful and natural buffer strips can reduce surface run-off directly.
- CoTRatorffhemttsbecause of the d vanying the propertions develoint sauccescolatadniuseotypes and intensity of river Swist.
- Daloulating a disintaglance ised simpleabut reffective vnethodifor theds identification and parasition eomanhiaationivinfactors withid helps monutarify which water
- Managements activities are ensub be baheerialestader asimproving this robid of gizal a watele datality segales stully.effluent.
- Shirspointubalancentainbeiseen asicrtheialfirson steprationards a mibrobfacerisk-assessment of
- the scatten ment a sea type that is predestined to cause high concentrations of all microbial parameters could not be identified.
- Depending on the microbial parameter viewed, the impact of diffuse sources on the load vary between 5-74 %, the impact of CSO was 21-88 % and the STP effluent 1-20 % (Fig. 3).